## WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

October 21, 2003

TO:	Internal File					
THRU:	Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor					
FROM:	Dana Dean, P.E., Senior Reclamation Hydrologist					
RE:	2001 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Sunnyside Cogeneration Association, Sunnyside Refuse/Slurry, C/007/0035-1585					
1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?  Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:  YES NO						
2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data. See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.						
Resampling due date						
During the year preceding each re-permitting action: "Once every five years (prior to each application for permit renewal) one sample from each of the monitoring sites listed in Table 7-2A will be sampled and analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 7-2B." The Permittee should sample for baseline in 2002, 2007, etc.						
	equired parameters reported for each site? ents, including identity of monitoring site:	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
	gularities found in the data?  ents, including identity of monitoring site:	YES 🗌	NO 🖂			

Page 2	
C/007/0035-WQ01-2	
October 21, 2003	

<b>5</b> .	5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?						
		1 <sup>st</sup> month, 2 <sup>nd</sup> month, 3 <sup>rd</sup> month,	YES ⊠ YES ⊠ YES ⊠	NO   NO   NO			
	All DMRs reported "no flow".						
6.	Were all required DMR parameters reporte Comments, including identity of monitoring		YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
	All DMRs reported "no flow".						
7.	Were irregularities found in the DMR data?  Comments, including identity of monitoring.  All DMRs reported "no flow".		YES	NO 🗵			
8.	8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?						
	No further actions are necessary at this time	ie.					
O:\	007035.SRS\WATER QUALITY\WQ01-2_1585.DOC						